

Equidistribution speed for endomorphisms of projective spaces

Tien-Cuong Dinh and Nessim Sibony

January 20, 2009

Abstract

Let f be a non-invertible holomorphic endomorphism of $\mathbb{P}^k$, f^n its iterate of order n and μ the equilibrium measure of f . We estimate the speed of convergence in the following known result. If a is a Zariski generic point in $\mathbb{P}^k$, the probability measures, equidistributed on the preimages of a under f^n , converge to μ as n goes to infinity.

AMS classification : 37F, 32H.

Key-words : equilibrium measure, exceptional set, equidistribution speed.

1 Introduction

Let $\mathbb{P}^k$ denote the complex projective space of dimension k . Let $f : \mathbb{P}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^k$ be a holomorphic endomorphism of algebraic degree $d \geq 2$. The map f defines a ramified covering of degree d^k over $\mathbb{P}^k$. Let ω_{FS} denote the Fubini-Study form on $\mathbb{P}^k$ normalized so that ω_{FS}^k is a probability measure. Let $f^n := f \circ \dots \circ f$ (n times) be the iterate of order n of f . It is well-known that $d^{-kn}(f^n)^*(\omega_{\text{FS}}^k)$ converge to a probability measure μ which is totally invariant: $d^{-k}f^*(\mu) = f_*(\mu) = \mu$. We refer to the surveys [11, 20] for the basic dynamical properties of such maps.

Consider a point a in $\mathbb{P}^k$. We are interested on the asymptotic distribution of the fibers $f^{-n}(a)$ of a when n goes to infinity. More precisely, if δ_a denotes the Dirac mass at a , $d^{-kn}(f^n)^*(\delta_a)$ is the probability measure which is equidistributed on the fiber $f^{-n}(a)$. The points in $f^{-n}(a)$ are counted with multiplicities. Here is our first result.

Theorem 1.1. *Let f be a holomorphic endomorphism of algebraic degree $d \geq 2$ of $\mathbb{P}^k$. Let μ be the equilibrium measure of f and $1 < \lambda < d$ a constant. There is an invariant proper analytic subset E_λ , possibly empty, of $\mathbb{P}^k$ such that if a is a point out of E_λ and if φ is a $\mathcal{C}^\alpha$ function on $\mathbb{P}^k$ with $0 < \alpha \leq 2$, then*

$$| \langle d^{-kn}(f^n)^*(\delta_a) - \mu, \varphi \rangle | \leq A \left[1 + \log^+ \frac{1}{\text{dist}(a, E_\lambda)} \right]^{\alpha/2} \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{C}^\alpha} \lambda^{-\alpha n/2},$$

where $A > 0$ is a constant independent of n , a , φ and $\log^+(\cdot) := \max(0, \log(\cdot))$.

Note that the distance $\text{dist}(a, E_\lambda)$ is with respect to the Fubini-Study metric on $\mathbb{P}^k$. When E_λ is empty, by convention, this distance is the diameter of $\mathbb{P}^k$ which is a finite number. A priori, the constant A depends on λ and α . Note also that we have the estimate

$$A \left[1 + \log^+ \frac{1}{\text{dist}(a, E_\lambda)} \right]^{\alpha/2} \leq A \left[1 + \log^+ \frac{1}{\text{dist}(a, E_\lambda)} \right]$$

for $0 < \alpha \leq 2$.

Let $\mathcal{H}_d(\mathbb{P}^k)$ denote the set of holomorphic endomorphisms of algebraic degree d of $\mathbb{P}^k$. We can identify it with a Zariski open set of a projective space. It is shown in [10, Lemma 5.4.5], that for f in a dense Zariski open set $\mathcal{H}_d^\lambda(\mathbb{P}^k)$ in $\mathcal{H}_d(\mathbb{P}^k)$, the multiplicities of points in the fibers of f^{n_0} are smaller than $d^{n_0} \lambda^{-n_0}$ for some $n_0 \geq 1$. We will see in Section 3 that in this case, E_λ is empty. The following consequence of Theorem 1.1 gives a precise version of [10, prop. 5.4.13].

Corollary 1.2. *Let $1 < \lambda < d$ be a constant and f an element of $\mathcal{H}_d^\lambda(\mathbb{P}^k)$. Then for every a in $\mathbb{P}^k$ and for φ a $\mathcal{C}^\alpha$ function on $\mathbb{P}^k$ with $0 < \alpha \leq 2$, we have*

$$|\langle d^{-kn}(f^n)^*(\delta_a) - \mu, \varphi \rangle| \leq A \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{C}^\alpha} \lambda^{-\alpha n/2},$$

where $A > 0$ is a constant independent of n , a and φ .

Recall that for f in $\mathcal{H}_d(\mathbb{P}^k)$, there is a maximal proper analytic subset $\mathcal{E}$ of $\mathbb{P}^k$ which is totally invariant under f , i.e. $f^{-1}(\mathcal{E}) = f(\mathcal{E}) = \mathcal{E}$, see Section 3 for details. Here is our second result.

Theorem 1.3. *Let f , μ and $\mathcal{E}$ be as above. There is a constant $\lambda > 1$ such that if a is a point out of $\mathcal{E}$ and if φ is a $\mathcal{C}^\alpha$ function on $\mathbb{P}^k$ with $0 < \alpha \leq 2$, then*

$$|\langle d^{-kn}(f^n)^*(\delta_a) - \mu, \varphi \rangle| \leq A \left[1 + \log^+ \frac{1}{\text{dist}(a, \mathcal{E})} \right]^{\alpha/2} \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{C}^\alpha} \lambda^{-\alpha n/2},$$

where $A > 0$ is a constant independent of n , a and φ . In particular, $d^{-kn}(f^n)^*(\delta_a)$ converge to μ locally uniformly for $a \in \mathbb{P}^k \setminus \mathcal{E}$.

The following result is a direct consequence of Theorem 1.3.

Corollary 1.4. *Let f , μ and $\mathcal{E}$ be as above. Then $d^{-kn}(f^n)^*(\delta_a)$ converge to μ if and only if $a \notin \mathcal{E}$.*

Note that in dimension 1, this result was proved by Brodin [3] for polynomials, by Lyubich [18] and Freire-Lopes-Mañé [16] for general maps. A version of our theorems was also proved by Drasin-Okuyama in [12] using Nevanlinna theory.

In higher dimension, a partial result in this direction was obtained by Fornæss and the second author in [14]. It shows the equidistribution property for a outside

a set of zero Lebesgue measure (a pluripolar set). Corollary 1.4 was announced by Briend-Duval in [1]. Their proof shows the equidistribution property for a outside a countable union of hypersurfaces (the orbit of the critical values of f). The first complete proof of this corollary was given by the authors in [7], see also [9].

Our proof there is valid for a much more general setting (polynomial-like maps and maps on singular varieties) and is separated into two parts. The first one improves a geometrical method due to Lyubich in dimension 1 and developed by Briend-Duval [1] in higher dimension. The second part, quite different from the dimension 1 case, shows the existence of an analytic exceptional set $\mathcal{E}$ and some extra properties which are useful in the proof. This exceptional set is still not well understood. Very recently, Briend-Duval [2] showed that using a dynamical argument, as in our work [5, 7, 9], one can obtain a short proof of Corollary 1.4.

In this paper, we will use known properties of the exceptional set but we will replace the geometrical method with a pluripotential one which is stronger. The strategy was already introduced by Fornæss and the second author in [15] for the equidistribution of hypersurfaces. In [10], we showed that this strategy can be extended to the case of varieties of arbitrary dimension, in particular, for the equidistribution of points that we consider. The novelty here is that we obtain precise quantitative results.

2 P.s.h. functions and regularization

We refer to [4, 17] for the basic properties of plurisubharmonic (p.s.h. for short) functions and the theory of positive closed currents. Recall that a function φ on $\mathbb{P}^k$ is *quasi-p.s.h.* if it is locally equal to the difference of a p.s.h. function and a smooth function. In particular, such a function is defined everywhere, bounded from above and is in all L^p spaces with $1 \leq p < \infty$. We also have $dd^c\varphi \geq -c\omega_{\text{FS}}$ for some constant $c > 0$. A Borel set E in $\mathbb{P}^k$ is *pluripolar* if it is contained in the pole set $\{\varphi = -\infty\}$ of a quasi-p.s.h. function φ .

Recall that a function φ on $\mathbb{P}^k$, defined out of a pluripolar set, is *d.s.h.* if it is equal to the difference of two quasi-p.s.h. functions. We identify two d.s.h. functions if they are equal out of a pluripolar set. We summarize here some properties of these functions, see [8] for details. If φ is d.s.h., there are two positive closed $(1,1)$ -currents $S^\pm$ such that $dd^c\varphi = S^+ - S^-$. The following expression defines a norm on the space of d.s.h. functions:

$$\|\varphi\|_{\text{DSH}} := |\langle \omega_{\text{FS}}^k, \varphi \rangle| + \inf \|S^\pm\|,$$

where the infimum is taken over $S^\pm$ as above.

Recall that the mass of a positive closed (p,p) -current S on $\mathbb{P}^k$ is given by $\|S\| := \langle S, \omega_{\text{FS}}^{k-p} \rangle$. It depends only on the cohomology class of S in $H^{p,p}(\mathbb{P}^k, \mathbb{C})$.

So, the masses of S^+ and S^- are equal since these currents are in the same class. Note that $\mathcal{C}^2$ functions are d.s.h. and we have $\|\cdot\|_{\text{DSH}} \lesssim \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{C}^2}$.

It is not difficult to show that we can write

$$\varphi = \varphi^+ - \varphi^- + c$$

out of a pluripolar set, where c is a constant and $\varphi^\pm$ are quasi-p.s.h. such that $\max \varphi^\pm = 0$. Moreover, we have the estimates

$$dd^c \varphi^\pm \geq -M \|\varphi\|_{\text{DSH}} \omega_{\text{FS}} \quad \text{and} \quad |c| \leq M \|\varphi\|_{\text{DSH}}$$

with some constant $M > 0$ independent of φ .

We say that a probability measure ν on $\mathbb{P}^k$ is *PB* if quasi-p.s.h. functions are integrable with respect to ν . Such a measure ν has no mass on pluripolar sets and d.s.h. functions are also integrable with respect to ν . When ν is PB, the following norm is equivalent to $\|\cdot\|_{\text{DSH}}$,

$$\|\varphi\|_\nu := |\langle \nu, \varphi \rangle| + \inf \|S^\pm\|.$$

Recall that the equilibrium measure μ of f is PB. In fact, it satisfies a stronger property. On the space of d.s.h. functions, consider the following *weak topology*: a sequence of d.s.h. functions (φ_n) converges to φ if $\|\varphi_n\|_{\text{DSH}}$ are uniformly bounded and $\varphi_n \rightarrow \varphi$ in the sense of currents. In that case, $\varphi_n \rightarrow \varphi$ in all L^p spaces with $1 \leq p < \infty$. The measure μ is *PC*, i.e. $\varphi \mapsto \langle \mu, \varphi \rangle$ is continuous for the above topology on φ . We refer to [11] for a recent survey on this theory.

A classical *exponential estimate* implies that if φ is quasi-p.s.h. such that $dd^c \varphi \geq -\omega_{\text{FS}}$ and $\max \varphi = 0$ then

$$\langle \omega_{\text{FS}}^k, e^{\alpha|\varphi|} \rangle \leq M$$

for some constants $\alpha > 0$ and $M > 0$ independent of φ [17]. It is not difficult to see that the estimate still holds when φ belongs to a bounded family of d.s.h. functions. The constants M and α depend on the family. The following proposition is crucial in our estimates and can be extended to Hölder continuous functions.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a bounded family of d.s.h. functions. Then, there is a constant $A_0 > 0$ such that*

$$\|\varphi\|_\infty \leq A_0(1 + \log^+ \|\nabla \varphi\|_\infty)$$

for every $\mathcal{C}^1$ function φ in $\mathcal{F}$.

Proof. Let $A_0 > 2$ be a constant large enough. If the above estimate were false, then there is a function φ in $\mathcal{F}$ and a point a in $\mathbb{P}^k$ such that $|\varphi(a)| \geq A_0 \log \|\nabla \varphi\|_\infty \geq A_0$. It follows that $|\varphi| \geq A_0 \log \|\nabla \varphi\|_\infty - 1 \geq \frac{1}{2} A_0 \log \|\nabla \varphi\|_\infty$ on the disc centered at a of radius $\|\nabla \varphi\|_\infty^{-1}$. This contradicts the exponential estimates for d.s.h. functions. $\square$

We will use the automorphism group $\mathrm{PGL}(\mathbb{C}, k+1)$ of $\mathbb{P}^k$ in order to regularize functions. This is a complex Lie group which acts transitively on $\mathbb{P}^k$. Let u denote a local holomorphic coordinates system of $\mathrm{PGL}(\mathbb{C}, k+1)$ with $\|u\| < 1$ such that $u = 0$ at identity $\mathrm{id} \in \mathrm{PGL}(\mathbb{C}, k+1)$. The automorphism of coordinates u is denoted by τ_u . Let $\rho(u)$ be a smooth probability measure with compact support in $\{\|u\| < 1\}$. For any $0 < \theta < 1$ define $\rho_\theta(u)$ as the image of this measure under $u \mapsto \theta u$. This is also a smooth probability measure with support in $\{\|u\| < \theta\}$. If ϕ is an L^1 function on $\mathbb{P}^k$, define the θ -regularized function ϕ_θ of ϕ by

$$\phi_\theta := \int_{\mathrm{PGL}(\mathbb{C}, k+1)} (\phi \circ \tau_u) d\rho_\theta(u).$$

It is not difficult to show that ϕ_θ is smooth and converge to ϕ in the L^1 topology when θ tends to 0. The proof of the following lemma is left to the reader, see also [10, Prop. 2.1.6].

Lemma 2.2. *There is a constant $A_1 \geq 1$ independent of ϕ and θ such that*

$$\|\phi_\theta\|_{C^1} \leq A_1 \|\phi\|_{L^1} \theta^{-7k^2}.$$

Moreover, if $\mathcal{A}(\phi, x, r) := \sup_y |\phi(y) - \phi(x)|$ for y such that $\mathrm{dist}(x, y) \leq r$, then

$$|\phi_\theta(x) - \phi(x)| \leq \mathcal{A}(\phi, x, \eta\theta),$$

where $\eta > 0$ is a constant independent of ϕ , x and θ .

We will also need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ denote the family of d.s.h. functions φ such that $\langle \mu, \varphi \rangle = 0$ and $\|\varphi\|_\mu \leq 1$. Then $\varphi_\theta - \langle \mu, \varphi_\theta \rangle$ is also a function in $\mathcal{F}$.*

Proof. Define $\varphi_u := \varphi \circ \tau_u$ and $\varphi'_u := \varphi_u - \langle \mu, \varphi_u \rangle$. Since $\varphi_\theta - \langle \mu, \varphi_\theta \rangle$ is an average on φ'_u , it is enough to show that φ'_u is in $\mathcal{F}$. We use here that μ is PC. It is clear that $\langle \mu, \varphi'_u \rangle = 0$. Since φ is in $\mathcal{F}$, there are positive closed $(1, 1)$ -currents $S^\pm$ such that $dd^c \varphi = S^+ - S^-$ and $\|S^\pm\| \leq 1$. We have $dd^c \varphi_u := \tau_u^*(S^+) - \tau_u^*(S^-)$. On the other hand, since the automorphisms of $\mathbb{P}^k$ act trivially on the Hodge cohomology groups of $\mathbb{P}^k$, we have $\|\tau_u^*(S^\pm)\| = \|S^\pm\| \leq 1$. Indeed, the mass of a positive closed current depends only on its cohomology class. Therefore, $\|\varphi'_u\|_\mu \leq 1$. $\square$

3 Exceptional sets

Let $\kappa_n(x)$ denote the local topological degree of f^n at x for $n \geq 0$. More precisely, for z generic near $f^n(x)$, $f^{-n}(z)$ has $\kappa_n(x)$ points near x . The functions κ_n satisfy $\kappa_{n+m} = (\kappa_m \circ f^n) \kappa_n$. So, they form a multiplicative cocycle. Define

$$\kappa_{-n}(x) := \max_{y \in f^{-n}(x)} \kappa_n(y).$$

These functions are upper semi-continuous with respect to the Zariski topology on $\mathbb{P}^k$. We summarize here some properties obtained in [5, 13]. The sequence $\kappa_n^{1/n}$ converges to a function κ_+ . The sequence $\kappa_{-n}^{1/n}$ converges to a function κ_- which is upper semi-continuous with respect to the Zariski topology. Moreover, for any $\delta > 1$, the level set $\{\kappa_- \geq \delta\}$ is an invariant proper analytic subset of $\mathbb{P}^k$. Each point in $\{\kappa_+ \geq \delta\}$ is sent to $\{\kappa_- \geq \delta\}$ by some iterate of f . Since $\kappa_+ \circ f = \kappa_+$, we have $f^{-1}\{\kappa_+ \geq \delta\} \subset \{\kappa_+ \geq \delta\}$ and in general, $\{\kappa_+ \geq \delta\}$ is not an analytic set.

Define

$$E_\lambda := \{\kappa_- \geq d\lambda^{-1}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega := \mathbb{P}^k \setminus E_\lambda.$$

Then, there is a constant $1 < \delta_0 < d\lambda^{-1}$ such that $E_\lambda = \{\kappa_- \geq \delta_0\}$. Let $n_0 \geq 1$ be an integer such that either $\{\kappa_{-n_0} \geq \delta_0^{n_0}\} \cap \Omega$ is empty or its dimension q is minimal. The definition of E_λ and Proposition 2.4 in [5] imply that this analytic set is in fact empty. So, $\kappa_{-n_0} < \delta_0^{n_0}$ out of E_λ . We will use the following lemma for $Y := E_\lambda$ or $Y := \mathcal{E}$, they are invariant.

Lemma 3.1. *There is a constant $A_2 \geq 1$ such that for every subsets X and Y of $\mathbb{P}^k$, we have*

$$\text{dist}(f^{-n}(X), f^{-n}(Y)) \geq A_2^{-n} \text{dist}(X, Y).$$

In particular, if $f(Y) \subset Y$, we have

$$\text{dist}(f^{-n}(X), Y) \geq A_2^{-n} \text{dist}(X, Y).$$

Proof. It is enough to take A_2 large enough so that $\text{dist}(f(x), f(y)) \leq A_2 \text{dist}(x, y)$. If X, Y are two subsets of $\mathbb{P}^k$, we have $\text{dist}(f^{-1}(X), f^{-1}(Y)) \geq A_2^{-1} \text{dist}(X, Y)$. We obtain the result by induction. $\square$

The lemma implies that $\text{dist}(f^{-n_0}(a), E_\lambda) \geq A_2^{-n_0} \text{dist}(a, E_\lambda)$ and an analogous property for $\mathcal{E}$. So, in order to prove the main results, we can replace f with f^{n_0} and assume that $n_0 = 1$. Therefore, the local topological degree of f is smaller than δ_0 at every point in $\mathbb{P}^k \setminus f^{-1}(E_\lambda)$. We can also replace f with an iterate f^n of f , λ , δ_0 , d with λ^n , δ_0^n and d^n in order to assume that $20k^2\delta_0 < d\lambda^{-1}$.

Recall that the measure μ is a wedge-product of positive closed $(1, 1)$ -currents with Hölder continuous local potentials. We easily deduce from the estimate in [10, Prop. 2.3.6] the following property, see also [6, Prop. 3.3].

Lemma 3.2. *Let V_t denote the t -neighbourhood of E_λ , $0 < t < 1$, i.e. the set of points x such that $\text{dist}(x, E_\lambda) \leq t$. Then,*

$$\mu(V_t) \leq A_3 t^\beta,$$

where $A_3 \geq 1$ and $\beta > 0$ are two constants independent of t .

It is shown in [5, 7, 9] that there is a maximal proper analytic set $\mathcal{E}$, possibly empty, which is totally invariant under f , i.e. $f^{-1}(\mathcal{E}) = f(\mathcal{E}) = \mathcal{E}$. The following property is deduced from the construction of $\mathcal{E}$ as the maximal totally invariant analytic set [9, Theorem 6.1].

Lemma 3.3. *Let X be a proper analytic set of $\mathbb{P}^k$. If a is a point in $\mathbb{P}^k \setminus \mathcal{E}$, then there is an integer $n \geq 0$ such that $f^{-n}(a) \notin X$.*

4 Lojasiewicz inequalities

Let B_r denote the ball of center 0 and of radius r in $\mathbb{C}^k$. Let π denote the canonical projection from $\mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C}^k$ onto its first factor. Recall the following version of the Lojasiewicz inequality, see [9, 15].

Proposition 4.1. *Let X be an analytic subset of $B_1 \times B_1$ of pure dimension k and s_0 a fixed integer. Assume that $\pi : X \rightarrow B_1$ defines a ramified covering of degree $s \leq s_0$ over B_1 . Then there is a constant $c > 0$ such that if x, y are two points in $B_{3/4}$ we can write*

$$\pi^{-1}(x) \cap X = \{x_1, \dots, x_s\} \quad \text{and} \quad \pi^{-1}(y) \cap X = \{y_1, \dots, y_s\}$$

with $\|x_i - y_i\| \leq c\|x - y\|^{1/s}$. Moreover, the constant c depends on s_0 but not on X .

Note that the points in the fibers $\pi^{-1}(x) \cap X$ and $\pi^{-1}(y) \cap X$ are repeated according to their multiplicities. We deduce from this proposition the following result.

Proposition 4.2. *Let X be an analytic subset of $B_1 \times B_1$ of pure dimension k and m an integer. Assume that $\pi : X \rightarrow B_1$ defines a ramified covering of degree s over B_1 . Let $Z \subset B_1$ be a proper analytic set such that the multiplicity of every point in $\pi^{-1}(x) \cap X$ is at most equal to m for $x \in B_1 \setminus Z$. Then there are constants $c > 0$, $N \geq 1$ such that for any $0 < t < 1$ and all $x, y \in B_{1/2}$ with $\text{dist}(x, Z) \geq t$, $\text{dist}(y, Z) \geq t$, we can write*

$$\pi^{-1}(x) \cap X = \{x_1, \dots, x_s\} \quad \text{and} \quad \pi^{-1}(y) \cap X = \{y_1, \dots, y_s\}$$

with $\|x_i - y_i\| \leq ct^{-N}\|x - y\|^{1/m}$.

Fix a constant $A \geq 1$ large enough. By Proposition 4.1, if $\tilde{z}$ is a point in $\pi^{-1}(z) \cap X$ with $z \in B_1$ then

$$\text{dist}(\tilde{z}, \pi^{-1}(x) \cap X) \leq A\|x - z\|^{1/s}.$$

For $z \in B_1$, write $\pi^{-1}(z) \cap X = \{z_1, \dots, z_s\}$. Consider the multi-indices $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_{m+1}\} \subset \{1, \dots, s\}$ of length $m+1$. Define

$$h_I(z) := \sum_{1 \leq p, q \leq m+1} \|z_{i_p} - z_{i_q}\|.$$

We can see $h_I(z)$ as a multi-valued function on z .

Lemma 4.3. *There is an integer $M \geq 1$ such that for $z \in B_{1/2}$ we have*

$$h_I(z) \geq A^{-1} \text{dist}(z, Z)^M.$$

Proof. Let X_1 denote the analytic set of points $P = (z, z_I)$ in $B_1 \times (\mathbb{C}^k)^{(m+1)^2}$, where the coordinates of z_I are defined by $z_{i_p} - z_{i_q}$ with z_i and $1 \leq p, q \leq m+1$ as above. It can be seen as a ramified covering over B_1 . Define

$$X_2 := B_1 \times \{0\} \quad \text{and} \quad X_3 := Z \times (\mathbb{C}^k)^{(m+1)^2}.$$

We have $X_1 \cap X_2 \subset X_3$. A Lojasiewicz inequality [19, p.14 and p.62] implies that for $z \in B_{1/2}$ and for A, M large enough

$$\text{dist}(P, X_2) \geq A^{-1} \text{dist}(P, X_1 \cap X_2)^M \geq A^{-1} \text{dist}(P, X_3)^M = A^{-1} \text{dist}(z, Z)^M.$$

We infer

$$h_I(z) \geq \text{dist}(P, X_2) \geq A^{-1} \text{dist}(z, Z)^M.$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. $\square$

End of the proof of Proposition 4.2. Define $N := Ms$ and fix a constant $\gamma > 0$ small enough. We can assume that $\|x - y\| \leq \frac{1}{2}\gamma t^{Nm}$. Otherwise, the lemma is obvious. Let B denote the ball of center x and of radius γt^{Nm} in $\mathbb{C}^k$. We will show that each connected component of $X \cap \pi^{-1}(B)$ defines a ramified covering of degree $\leq m$ over B . Then it is enough to apply Proposition 4.1 to this component. In order to apply that proposition, we have to use a coordinate dilation on B which leads to the factor t^{-N} in the estimate $\|x_i - y_i\| \leq ct^{-N}\|x - y\|^{1/m}$.

Fix a point $a = (x, x')$ in $\pi^{-1}(x) \cap X$. We claim that there is an integer $2 \leq l \leq 8s$ such that if b is a point in $\pi^{-1}(x) \cap X$ we have either $\|a - b\| \leq (l-2)A\gamma^{1/s}t^{Nm/s}$ or $\|a - b\| \geq (l+2)A\gamma^{1/s}t^{Nm/s}$. Indeed, $\pi^{-1}(x) \cap X$ contains only s points and one can find in $\pi^{-1}(x)$ more than s disjoint rings of the form

$$\left\{ w \in \pi^{-1}(x), \quad (l-2)A\gamma^{1/s}t^{Nm/s} \leq \|a - w\| < (l+2)A\gamma^{1/s}t^{Nm/s} \right\}.$$

Let B' be the ball of center x' and of radius $lA\gamma^{1/s}t^{Nm/s}$ in $\mathbb{C}^k$ and $\partial B'$ its boundary. We have $\text{dist}(\pi^{-1}(x) \cap X, B \times \partial B') > A\gamma^{1/s}t^{Nm/s}$. The first estimate on $\text{dist}(\tilde{z}, \pi_1^{-1}(x) \cap X)$ implies that $X \cap B \times \partial B' = \emptyset$. So, π is proper on $X \cap B \times B'$ and it defines a ramified covering over B .

We show that the degree of this covering is at most equal to m . Otherwise, for some multi-index I , $h_I(x)$ is of order $\gamma^{1/s}t^{Nm/s} \ll A^{-1}t^{N/s} = A^{-1}t^M$ because γ is small. This contradicts Lemma 4.3 and the property that $\text{dist}(x, Z) \geq t$. So, each connected component of $X \cap \pi^{-1}(B)$ is a covering of degree $\leq m$ over B . Proposition 4.1 implies the result. $\square$

The following corollary is deduced from Proposition 4.2. It is enough to consider the restriction of the graph of f to suitable charts in $\mathbb{P}^k \times \mathbb{P}^k$ and then apply Proposition 4.2 to each chart.

Corollary 4.4. *There is an integer $N \geq 1$ and a constant $A_4 \geq 1$ such that if $0 < t < 1$ is a constant and if x, y are two points in $\mathbb{P}^k$ with $\text{dist}(x, E_\lambda) > t$ and $\text{dist}(y, E_\lambda) > t$, then we can write*

$$f^{-1}(x) = \{x_1, \dots, x_{d^k}\} \quad \text{and} \quad f^{-1}(y) = \{y_1, \dots, y_{d^k}\}$$

with $\text{dist}(x_i, y_i) \leq A_4 t^{-N} \text{dist}(x, y)^{1/\delta_0}$.

We will use the following lemma in the proof of Theorem 1.3.

Lemma 4.5. *There is a constant $A_5 \geq 1$ and integers $L \geq 1$, $n_1 \geq 1$ such that if a is a point out of $\mathcal{E}$, we can find a point $b \in f^{-n_1}(a)$ out of E_λ such that*

$$\text{dist}(b, E_\lambda) \geq A_5^{-1} \text{dist}(a, \mathcal{E})^L.$$

Proof. Let F_n denote the set of points a such that $f^{-n}(a) \subset E_\lambda$. Since E_λ is invariant, the sequence of analytic sets F_n is decreasing. By Lemma 3.3, we have $\cap F_n \subset \mathcal{E}$. So, $F_{n_1} \subset \mathcal{E}$ for n_1 large enough, that is, $f^{-n_1}(a) \not\subset E_\lambda$ if $a \notin \mathcal{E}$.

Let X denote the set of points $P = (a, b_1, \dots, b_{d^{kn_1}})$ in $\mathbb{P}^k \times (\mathbb{P}^k)^{d^{kn_1}}$ such that $f^{-n_1}(a) = \{b_1, \dots, b_{d^{kn_1}}\}$. Define $Y := \mathbb{P}^k \times E_\lambda^{d^{kn_1}}$ and $Z := \mathcal{E} \times (\mathbb{P}^k)^{d^{kn_1}}$. We have $X \cap Y \subset Z$. An inequality of Lojasiewicz [19, p.14 and p.62] implies that $\text{dist}(P, Y) \geq c \text{dist}(P, X \cap Y)^L$ for some constants $c > 0$ and $L \geq 1$. We refer

$$d^{kn_1} \max_i \text{dist}(b_i, E_\lambda) \geq \text{dist}(P, Y) \geq c \text{dist}(P, Z)^L = c \text{dist}(a, \mathcal{E})^L.$$

The lemma follows. $\square$

5 Equidistribution

In this section, we prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.3. The theory of interpolation between Banach spaces allows to assume that $\alpha = 2$. Indeed, if $L : \mathcal{C}^0(\mathbb{P}^k) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous linear operator, then $L : \mathcal{C}^\alpha(\mathbb{P}^k) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is also a continuous linear operator and we have

$$\|L\|_{\mathcal{C}^\alpha} \leq A \|L\|_{\mathcal{C}^0}^{1-\alpha/2} \|L\|_{\mathcal{C}^2}^{\alpha/2}$$

for some constant $A > 0$ depending on α but independent of L , see [21].

We now prove Theorem 1.1. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the family of d.s.h. functions ϕ such that $\langle \mu, \phi \rangle = 0$ and $\|\phi\|_\mu \leq 1$. Recall that the operator f_* acts continuously on d.s.h. functions. If ϕ is d.s.h., then $f_*(\phi)$ is defined by

$$f_*(\phi)(x) := \sum_{y \in f^{-1}(x)} \phi(y),$$

where the points in $f^{-1}(x)$ are counted with multiplicity. The following lemma is well-known and is easy to prove, see [8, 11].

Lemma 5.1. *The operator $\Lambda := d^{1-k} f_*$ acts on d.s.h. functions and preserves the family $\mathcal{F}$.*

We can assume that the function φ in Theorems 1.1 and 1.3 belongs to $\mathcal{F}$ and satisfies $\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{C}^2} \leq 1$. Let A_i , α , η and N be the constants in Proposition 2.1, Lemmas 2.2, 3.1, 3.2, 4.5 and Corollary 4.4. Define

$$l := 1 + \log^+ \frac{1}{\text{dist}(a, E_\lambda)}$$

where a is a point out of E_λ . Fix a $\delta > 1$ such that $20k^2\delta_0 < \delta < d\lambda^{-1}$. Choose a constant $M > 1$ large enough. This choice depends on δ . In what follows, the symbols $\lesssim$ and $\gtrsim$ mean inequalities up to a multiplicative constant which is independent of φ , n , i and the variable t that we will use latter.

Fix an integer $n \geq 1$. For $0 \leq i \leq n$, define $\theta_i := e^{-Ml\delta^i n}$. Define also $\varphi_0 := \varphi$ and write for $1 \leq i \leq n$

$$\Lambda(\varphi_{i-1}) = c_i + \varphi_i + \psi_i.$$

Here, $c_i + \varphi_i$ is the θ_i -regularized function of $\Lambda(\varphi_{i-1})$. The constant c_i is chosen so that $\langle \mu, \varphi_i \rangle = 0$. Recall that we assumed that $\langle \mu, \varphi \rangle = 0$. We need the regularization because $\Lambda(\varphi)$ is not $\mathcal{C}^1$ and we will apply Proposition 2.1.

Lemma 5.2. *The functions φ_i and $\Lambda(\varphi_i)$ belong to $\mathcal{F}$. We also have $c_i = -\langle \mu, \psi_i \rangle$ and*

$$\|\nabla \varphi_i\|_\infty \lesssim \theta_i^{-7k^2}, \quad \|\varphi_i\|_\infty \leq (3d)^i \quad \text{and} \quad \|\psi_i\|_\infty \leq (3d)^i$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Proof. By Lemmas 2.3 and 5.1, a simple induction implies that φ_i and $\Lambda(\varphi_i)$ belong to $\mathcal{F}$. Hence, $\langle \mu, c_i + \psi_i \rangle = 0$. It follows that $c_i = -\langle \mu, \psi_i \rangle$. We also deduce that $\Lambda(\varphi_{i-1})$ has a bounded L^1 -norm and by Lemma 2.2, $\|c_i + \varphi_i\|_{\mathcal{C}^1} \lesssim \theta_i^{-7k^2}$. Therefore, $\|\nabla \varphi_i\|_\infty \lesssim \theta_i^{-7k^2}$.

The last two inequalities are also proved by induction. Assume that $|\varphi_{i-1}| \leq (3d)^{i-1}$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n$. By definition of Λ , we have $|\Lambda(\varphi_{i-1})| \leq 3^{i-1}d^i$. It follows from the definition of θ -regularization that $|c_i + \varphi_i| \leq 3^{i-1}d^i$ and then $|\psi_i| \leq \frac{2}{3}(3d)^i \leq (3d)^i$. Since $c_i = -\langle \mu, \psi_i \rangle$, we obtain $|c_i| \leq \frac{2}{3}(3d)^i \leq (3d)^i$. Finally, we have

$$|\varphi_i| \leq |c_i + \varphi_i| + |c_i| \leq 3^{i-1}d^i + \frac{2}{3}(3d)^i = (3d)^i.$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

We also have the following estimates where the constant η has been introduced in Lemma 2.2.

Lemma 5.3. *For any $1 \leq i \leq n$ and t such that $2\eta\theta_i < t < 1$, we have $|\psi_i| \lesssim t^{-N}\theta_{i-1}^{-7k^2}\theta_i^{1/\delta_0}$ out of the t -neighbourhood of E_λ and $|c_i| \lesssim d^{-n}$.*

Proof. We will apply Corollary 4.4 and use the inequality

$$|\phi(x_i) - \phi(y_i)| \lesssim \|\nabla \phi\|_\infty \text{dist}(x_i, y_i).$$

If x, y are two points such that $\text{dist}(x, E_\lambda) \geq \frac{t}{2}$ and $\text{dist}(y, E_\lambda) \geq \frac{t}{2}$, then we deduce from the definition of Λ that

$$|\Lambda\varphi_{i-1}(x) - \Lambda\varphi_{i-1}(y)| \lesssim t^{-N} \|\nabla \varphi_{i-1}\|_\infty \text{dist}(x, y)^{1/\delta_0} \lesssim t^{-N} \theta_{i-1}^{-7k^2} \text{dist}(x, y)^{1/\delta_0}.$$

When $\text{dist}(x, E_\lambda) \geq t$ and $\text{dist}(x, y) \leq \eta\theta_i$, we have $\text{dist}(y, E_\lambda) \geq \frac{t}{2}$ and then

$$|\Lambda\varphi_{i-1}(x) - \Lambda\varphi_{i-1}(y)| \lesssim t^{-N} \theta_{i-1}^{-7k^2} \theta_i^{1/\delta_0}.$$

Recall that $\psi_i = \Lambda(\varphi_{i-1}) - \Lambda(\varphi_{i-1})_{\theta_i}$. We then deduce from Lemma 2.2 that

$$|\psi_i(x)| \lesssim t^{-N} \theta_{i-1}^{-7k^2} \theta_i^{1/\delta_0}$$

for x out of the t -neighbourhood of E_λ . This gives the first estimate in the lemma.

For the second one, choose $t := \theta_i^{1/(2N\delta_0)}$. Let V_t denote the t -neighbourhood of E_λ . Using Lemmas 3.2 and 5.2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |c_i| &\leq \int_{V_t} |\psi_i| d\mu + \int_{\mathbb{P}^k \setminus V_t} |\psi_i| d\mu \lesssim (3d)^i \mu(V_t) + t^{-N} \theta_{i-1}^{-7k^2} \theta_i^{1/\delta_0} \\ &\lesssim (3d)^i \theta_i^{\beta/(2N\delta_0)} + \theta_{i-1}^{-7k^2} \theta_i^{1/(2\delta_0)} \lesssim d^{-n}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, we use the choice of θ_i and δ . □

End of the proof of Theorem 1.1. Define $\nu_n := d^{-kn}(f^n)^*(\delta_a)$. We need to show that $|\langle \nu_n, \varphi \rangle| \leq A l \lambda^{-n}$ for some constant $A > 0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \nu_n, \varphi \rangle &= \langle d^{-k} f^*(\nu_{n-1}), \varphi_0 \rangle = \langle d^{-1} \nu_{n-1}, \Lambda(\varphi_0) \rangle \\ &= d^{-1} c_1 + d^{-1} \langle \nu_{n-1}, \psi_1 \rangle + d^{-1} \langle \nu_{n-1}, \varphi_1 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Using the identity $\Lambda(\varphi_{i-1}) = c_i + \varphi_i + \psi_i$ and a simple induction, we obtain

$$\langle \nu_n, \varphi \rangle = d^{-1} c_1 + \cdots + d^{-n} c_n + d^{-1} \langle \nu_{n-1}, \psi_1 \rangle + \cdots + d^{-n} \langle \nu_0, \psi_n \rangle + d^{-n} \langle \nu_0, \varphi_n \rangle.$$

By Lemma 3.1, the distance between $\text{supp}(\nu_i)$ and E_λ is larger than $A_2^{-n} e^{-l}$. So, Lemma 5.3 applied to $t := \frac{1}{2} A_2^{-n} e^{-l}$ implies that

$$|\langle \nu_{n-i}, \psi_i \rangle| \lesssim A_2^{Nn} e^{Nl} \theta_{i-1}^{-7k^2} \theta_i^{1/\delta_0} \lesssim d^{-n}.$$

We also have by Proposition 2.1 and Lemma 5.2 that

$$d^{-n} |\langle \nu_0, \varphi_n \rangle| \lesssim d^{-n} (1 + \log^+ \|\nabla \varphi_n\|) \lesssim d^{-n} |\log \theta_n| \lesssim l \lambda^{-n}.$$

The previous estimates, together with the estimate on c_i in Lemma 5.3, imply the desired estimate $|\langle \nu_n, \varphi \rangle| \lesssim l\lambda^{-n}$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.3. We can assume that n is even. We will apply Theorem 1.1 and Lemma 4.5. In order to simplify the notation, we can assume that $n_1 = 1$ in Lemma 4.5 and use the above notations. So, for $a \notin \mathcal{E}$, we have $|f^{-1}(a) \cap E_\lambda| \leq d^k - 1$, where we count the multiplicities of points. Observe that if $x \notin E_\lambda$ then $f^{-1}(x) \cap E_\lambda = \emptyset$ since E_λ is invariant. Therefore, $f^{-n/2}(a) \cap E_\lambda$ contains at most $(d^k - 1)^{n/2}$ points.

Lemma 4.5, together with Lemma 3.1, gives a more precise property. In fact, there are at least $d^{kn/2} - (d^k - 1)^{n/2}$ points $b \in f^{-n/2}(a) \setminus E_\lambda$, counted with multiplicity, such that

$$\text{dist}(b, E_\lambda) \geq A_2^{-nL/2} A_5^{-1} \text{dist}(a, \mathcal{E})^L \gtrsim A_2^{-nL/2} e^{-l'L},$$

where

$$l' := 1 + \log^+ \frac{1}{\text{dist}(a, \mathcal{E})}.$$

Let Σ denote the set of these points. We have $d^{kn/2} - (d^k - 1)^{n/2} \leq |\Sigma| \leq d^{kn/2}$ and

$$d^{-kn}(f^n)^*(\delta_a) = d^{-kn} \sum_{b \in \Sigma} (f^{n/2})^*(\delta_b) + d^{-kn} \sum_{b \in f^{-n/2}(a) \setminus \Sigma} (f^{n/2})^*(\delta_b).$$

If φ is as above, we deduce from Theorem 1.1 applied to points $b \in \Sigma$ that

$$|\langle (f^{n/2})^*(\delta_b), \varphi \rangle| \lesssim d^{kn/2} \left[1 + \log^+ \frac{1}{\text{dist}(b, E_\lambda)} \right] \lambda^{-n} \lesssim d^{kn/2} (n + l') \lambda^{-n}.$$

Hence, since $|f^{-n/2}(a) \setminus \Sigma| \leq (d^k - 1)^{n/2}$ and $|\varphi| \leq 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle d^{-kn}(f^n)^*(\delta_a), \varphi \rangle| &\lesssim d^{-kn} |\Sigma| d^{kn/2} (n + l') \lambda^{-n} + d^{-kn} d^{kn/2} |f^{-n/2}(a) \setminus \Sigma| \\ &\lesssim (n + l') \lambda^{-n} + (1 - d^{-k})^{n/2}. \end{aligned}$$

It is enough to replace λ with some smaller constant in order to obtain the desired estimate $|\langle d^{-kn}(f^n)^*(\delta_a), \varphi \rangle| \lesssim l' \lambda^{-n}$. $\square$

References

- [1] Briend J.-Y., Duval J., Deux caractérisations de la mesure d'équilibre d'un endomorphisme de $\mathbb{P}^k(\mathbb{C})$, *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, **93** (2001), 145-159.
- [2] ———, Erratum, December 2008, to appear.
- [3] Brolin H., Invariant sets under iteration of rational functions, *Ark. Mat.*, **6** (1965), 103-144.

- [4] Demailly J.-P., *Complex analytic geometry*, available at www.fourier.ujf-grenoble.fr/~demailly.
- [5] Dinh T.-C., Analytic multiplicative cocycles over holomorphic dynamical systems, *special issue of Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations*, to appear. [arXiv:0805.2682](#)
- [6] Dinh T.-C., Nguyen V.-A., Sibony N., Exponential estimates for plurisubharmonic functions and stochastic dynamics, *preprint*, 2008. [arXiv:0801.1983](#)
- [7] Dinh T.-C., Sibony N., Dynamique des applications d'allure polynomiale, *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, **82** (2003), 367-423.
- [8] —, Distribution des valeurs de transformations méromorphes et applications, *Comment. Math. Helv.*, **81** (2006), no. 1, 221-258.
- [9] —, Equidistribution towards the Green current for holomorphic maps, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.*, **41** (2008), 307-336.
- [10] —, Super-potentials of positive closed currents, intersection theory and dynamics, *Acta Math.*, to appear. [arXiv:math.CV/0703702](#)
- [11] —, Dynamics in several complex variables: endomorphisms of projective spaces and polynomial-like mappings, *preprint*, 2008. [arXiv:0810.0811](#)
- [12] Drasin D., Okuyama Y., Equidistribution and Nevanlinna theory, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.*, **39** (2007), no. 4, 603-613.
- [13] Favre C., Multiplicity of holomorphic functions, *Math. Ann.*, **316** (2000), no. 2, 355-378.
- [14] Fornæss J.-E., Sibony N., Complex dynamics in higher dimensions. Notes partially written by Estela A. Gavosto, *NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci.*, **439**, Complex potential theory (Montreal, PQ, 1993), 131-186, *Kluwer Acad. Publ.*, Dordrecht, 1994.
- [15] —, Complex dynamics in higher dimension. II. Modern methods in complex analysis (Princeton, NJ, 1992), 135-182, *Ann. of Math. Stud.*, **137**, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1995.
- [16] Freire A., Lopes A., Mañé R., An invariant measure for rational maps, *Bol. Soc. Brasil. Mat.*, **14** (1983), no. 1, 45-62.
- [17] Hörmander L., *An introduction to complex analysis in several variables*, Third edition, North-Holland Mathematical Library, **7**, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1990.
- [18] Lyubich M. Ju., Entropy properties of rational endomorphisms of the Riemann sphere, *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, **3** (1983), no. 3, 351-385.
- [19] Malgrange B., *Ideals of Differentiable functions*, Oxford University Press, 1966.

- [20] Sibony N., Dynamique des applications rationnelles de $\mathbb{P}^k$, *Panoramas et Synthèses*, **8** (1999), 97-185.
- [21] Triebel H., *Interpolation theory, function spaces, differential operators*, North-Holland, 1978.
- T.-C. Dinh, UPMC Univ Paris 06, UMR 7586, Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu, F-75005 Paris, France. dinh@math.jussieu.fr, <http://www.math.jussieu.fr/~dinh>
- N. Sibony, Université Paris-Sud, Mathématique - Bâtiment 425, 91405 Orsay, France. nessim.sibony@math.u-psud.fr